

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,488	12/31/2003	Eric C. Hannah	P18191	1800
21186 7590 02/26/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			HAFIZ, MURSALIN B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
•				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	02/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/750,488	HANNAH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
THE PARTY AND DATE AND A	Mursalin B. Hafiz	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the C	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 No.	ovember 2006.	·				
•	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,13-22,29-32,38 and 40-43</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-6,13-22,29-32,38 and 40-43 is/are r	ejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	·					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•				

Art Unit: 2814

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment filed on November 30, 2006 is hereby acknowledged.
 Claim 41 is amended.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al (US 2004/0125565 A1).

Regarding claim 41, Chen et al disclosed in Fig. 1, an apparatus comprising:

- a thermal management device [50];
- a heat source [30];
- a plurality of first nanostructures attached to the thermal management device;
- a plurality of second nanostructure attached to the heat source, wherein the first nanostructures are interleaved with the second nanostructures wherein the first

Art Unit: 2814

nanostructures and the second nanostructures are disposed in a predetermined pattern [40; paragraph 0019], and wherein the first nanostructures and the second nanostructures include molecules to facilitate adhesion of the first nanostructures and the second nanostructures to each other [end product is interface material in between the heat source and heat management device where nanostructure contacts with the heat source and heat management device; the above limitation does not provide any structural limitation rather it describes how the structure is achieved. Hence, it does not provide any patentable weight].

Regarding claim 42, Chen et al disclosed in paragraph 0018 the thermal management device [50] includes at least one of passive cooling device and active cooling device [heatsink].

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6, 13, 30, 31, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al (US 2004/0125565 A1) in view of Edman et al (US 7,060,224 B2).

Regarding claim 1, Chen et al discloses in Fig. 1, an apparatus comprising:

a thermal management device [50];

Art Unit: 2814

a heat source [30]; and

an interface [40] diposed between the thermal management device [50] and the heat source [30], the interface having a plurality of nanostructures [paragraph 0019] the nanostructures having plurality of polymer molecules [paragraph 0019].

chen et al fails to disclose the polymer molecules including deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) molecules. However, Edman et al teaches nanostructure using DNA. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate Edman's teaching into Searls et al's device at least to selectively glue together parts [column 26 lines 15-25].

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Chen et al discloses thermal management device [50] comprises a passive cooling device and passive cooling device comprises at least one of a heat sink, a heat spreader, heat pipes and a heat slug [paragraph 0018].

Regarding claim 6, Searls discloses that the heat source [30] comprises a rectangular piece of silicon material [CPU] [paragraph 0004].

Regarding claims 13, Searls discloses, an apparatus comprising:

a thermal management device [50];

a heat source [30]; and

an interface [40] diposed between the thermal management device [50] and the heat source [30], the interface having a plurality of nanostructures formed on the thermal management device and the heat source, the plurality of nanostructures formed on the thermal management device being coupled to the plurality of nanostructures formed on the heat source, the plurality of nanostructures formed on the thermal

Art Unit: 2814

management device being coupled to the plurality of nanostructures formed on the heat source, wherein the plurality of nanostructures formed on the thermal management device and the plurality of nanostructures formed on the heat source have a plurality of molecules covalently coupling the nanostructures formed on the thermal management device and the plurality of nanostructures formed on the heat source, wherein the plurality of molecules comprises a flexible polymer molecules [paragraph 0019].

Chen et al fails to disclose the flexible polymer comprises deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) molecules. However, Edman et al teaches nanostructure using DNA. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate Edman's teaching into Searls et al's device at least to selectively glue together parts as taught by Edman et la in column 26 lines 15-25.

Regarding claim 30, Chen et al discloses, a semiconductor package comprising: a thermal management device [50];

a heat source [30]; and

an interface [40] diposed between the thermal management device [50] and the heat source [30], the interface having a plurality of nanostructures the nanostructures having plurality of polymer molecules [paragraph 0019].

Chen et al fails to disclose the polymer molecules including deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) molecules. However, Edman et al teaches nanostructure using DNA. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate Edman's teaching into Searls et al's device at least to selectively glue together parts [column 26 lines 15-25].

Art Unit: 2814

Regarding claim 31,Chen discloses thermal management device [50] comprises a passive cooling device [paragraph 0018].

Regarding claim 40, Searls et al disclosed in Fig. 1-3, an apparatus comprising: a thermal management device [50];

a heat source [30];

a plurality of first nanostructures attached to the thermal management device;

a plurality of second nanostructure attached to the heat source, wherein the first nanostructures are interleaved with the second nanostructures wherein the first nanostructures and the second nanostructures, wherein at least one of the plurality of first nanostructures and plurality of second nanostructures includes a plurality of polymer molecules [paragraph 0019; end result is same structure].

Chen et al fails to disclose the polymer molecules including deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) molecules. However, Edman et al teaches nanostructure using DNA. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate Edman's teaching into Searls et al's device at least to selectively glue together parts as taught by Edman et la in column 26 lines 15-25.

4. Claims 4, 5, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al (US 2004/0125565 A1) and Edman et al (US 7,060,224 B2) in view of Chen et al (US 2005/0059238 A1) herein after Chen(2005).

Regarding claim 4, 5, and 32, Chen et al and Edman et al met all the claimed limitations except, the thermal management device comprises an active cooling device,

Art Unit: 2814

and the active cooling device comprises at least one of an air jet impingement device and a dielectric liquid device. However, Chen(2005) teaches active cooling device can be substitute for a passive cooling device [page 4 paragraph 0039]. So, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use active cooling device instead of passive cooling device to increase the efficiency of the thermal management device. Chen teaches thermal management device being air jet impingement device [micro-fan device, micropumps] [page 4 paragraph 0039].

5. Claims 14, 38 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al (2004/0125565 A1) in view of Fan et al (US 6,924,335 B2).

Regarding claims 14 and 38, Chen et al does not disclose explicitly nanostructures comprises a plurality of carbon nanotubes. However, Fan et al teaches an analogous device wherein nanostructures comprises a plurality of carbon nanotubes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to use carbon in Chen's device at least to provide good thermal conductivity [column 2 lines 1-3]. Moreover, selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in *Sinclair & Carroll Co., Inc. v. Interchemical Corp.,* 325 U. S. 327,65 USPQ 297 (1945).

Regarding claim 43, Cheb et al does not disclose explicitly at least one of the plurality of first nanostructure and the plurality of second nanostructures includes a plurality of carbon nanotubes. However, Fan et al teaches an analogous device wherein nanostructures comprises a plurality of carbon nanotubes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to use carbon

Art Unit: 2814

in Chen's device at least to provide good thermal conductivity [column 2 lines 1-3]. Moreover, selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in *Sinclair & Carroll Co., Inc. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U. S. 327,65 USPQ 297 (1945).

6. Claims 15,17-19, 22, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prasher (US 2005/0105272 A1) in view of Chen et al (US 2004/0125565 A1) and further in view of Edman et al (US 7,060,224 B2).

Regarding claims 15, Prasher discloses in Fig. 6b, a system comprising:

a wiring board [610];

a memory device electrically coupled to the wiring board [610] [page 3, paragraph 0037];

a heat source [624] electrically coupled to the wiring board [610]; a thermal management device [622 and 602 combined] coupled to the

heat source [624]; and

an interface [626] disposed between the thermal management device [622 and 602 combined] and the heat source [624].

Prasher does not disclose that the interface having a plurality of nanostructures. However, Chen et al teaches an interface having a plurality of nanostructures, the nanostructures having a plurality of polymer molecules. Nanostructures such as carbon nanotubes have high thermal and electrical conductance. So, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use

Art Unit: 2814

nanostructures in the interface at least to increase the thermal conductivity or ground the die [paragraph 0007].

Chen et al fails to disclose the polymer molecules including deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) molecules. However, Edman et al teaches nanostructure using DNA. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate Edman's teaching into Searls et al's device at least to selectively glue together parts as taught by Edman et la in column 26 lines 15-25.

Regarding claim 17, Prasher discloses that the memory device comprises a flash type memory device [page 3, paragraph 0037].

Regarding claims 18 and 19, Chen discloses thermal management device [50] comprises a passive cooling device and passive cooling device comprises at least one of a heat sink, a heat spreader, heat pipes and a heat slug [paragraph 0018].

Regarding claim 22, Prasher discloses that the heat source [624] comprises an integrated circuit (IC) die [page 3 paragraph 0035].

Regarding claims 29, Prasher discloses in Fig. 6b, a system comprising:

a wiring board [610];

a memory device electrically coupled to the wiring board [610] [page 3, paragraph 0037];

a heat source [624] electrically coupled to the wiring board [610];

a thermal management device [622 and 602 combined] coupled to the heat source [624]; and

Art Unit: 2814

an interface [626] disposed between the thermal management device [622 and 602 combined] and the heat source [624].

Prasher does not disclose that the interface having a plurality of nanostructures. However, Chen teaches an interface [40] diposed between the thermal management device [50] and the heat source [30], the interface having a plurality of nanostructures formed on the thermal management device and the heat source, the plurality of nanostructures formed on the thermal management device being coupled to the plurality of nanostructures formed on the heat source, the plurality of nanostructures formed on the thermal management device being coupled to the plurality of nanostructures formed on the heat source, wherein the plurality of nanostructures formed on the thermal management device and the plurality of nanostructures formed on the heat source have a plurality of molecules covalently coupling the nanostructures formed on the thermal management device and the plurality of nanostructures formed on the heat source, wherein the plurality of molecules comprises a flexible polymer molecules. Nanostructures such as carbon nanotubes have high thermal and electrical conductance. So, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use nanostructures in the interface at least to increase the thermal conductivity or ground the die [paragraph 0019; finished structure of prior art is same as the application; ].

Chen et al fails to disclose the polymer molecules including deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) molecules. However, Edman et al teaches nanostructure using DNA. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was

Art Unit: 2814

made to incorporate Edman's teaching into Searls et al's device at least to selectively glue together parts as taught by Edman et la in column 26 lines 15-25.

7. Claims 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over over Prasher (US 2005/0105272 A1), Chen et al (2004/0125565 A1) and Edman et al (US 7,060,224 B2) as applied to claims 15 and 18 above, and further in view of Tobita et al (US 6,730,731 B2).

Regarding claim 16, Prasher, Chen, and Edman met all the claim limitations except, the wiring board comprises a printed circuit board. However, Tobita teaches an analogous device where printed circuit board is used in place of wiring board. It is well known in the art to use printed circuit board for putting all the components together such as motherboard of computer that contains processor with heat sink, memory etc.

8. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prasher (US 2005/0105272 A1), Chen et al (2004/0125565 A1) and Edman et al (US 7,060,224 B2) as applied to claims 15 and 18 above, and further in view of Chen et al (US 2005/0059238 A1).

Regarding claim 20 and 21, Prasher, Chen, and Edman met all the claimed limitations except, the thermal management device comprises an active cooling device, and the active cooling device comprises at least one of an air jet impingement device and a dielectric liquid device. However, Chen teaches active cooling device can be substitute for a passive cooling device [page 4 paragraph 0039]. So, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use active cooling device instead of passive cooling device to increase the efficiency of the thermal

management device. Chen teaches thermal management device being air jet impingement device [micro-fan device, micropumps] [page 4 paragraph 0039].

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6, 13-22, 29-32, 38, and 40-43 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mursalin B. Hafiz whose telephone number is 571-272-8604. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,488 Page 13

Art Unit: 2814

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mbh

WAI-SING LOUIE
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER